1	James M. Hanavan, State Bar No. 66097 Kristen E. Drake, State Bar No. 202827 CRAIGIE, McCARTHY & CLOW 540 Pacific Avenue San Francisco, CA 94133 Telephone: (415) 732-7788 Facsimile: (415) 732-7783	
2		
3		
4		
5	Attorneys for Defendants SAFER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., CERMA TECHNOLOGY, INC., GEORGE ACKERSON, MARY STRANAHAN,	
6		
7		
8	NICHOLAS STREIT and EDWARD HALBACH	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
12	MOTOR WORKS LLC,	Case No.: 08-CV-03608 JW
13	Plaintiff,	NOTICE OF PLAINTIFF'S FAILURE TO
14	vs.	MEET AND CONFER IN VIOLATION OF THE COURT'S JULY 8, 2009
15	SAFER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., CERMA	PRELIMINARY PRETRIAL CONFERENCE SCHEDULING ORDER
16	TECHNOLOGY, INC., GEORGE ACKERMAN, MARY STRANAHAN,	Date: March 16, 2010
17	NICHOLAS STREIT, TIM STREIT and EDWARD HALBACH,	Time: 1:00 p.m. Courtroom: 8, 4 th Floor
18	Defendants.	Judge: Hon. James Ware
19		
20	TO EACH PARTY AND THEIR ATTORNEY OF RECORD:	
21	YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT plaintiff Motor Works LLC has failed to meet	
22	and confer with defendants' counsel as required by the Court's July 8, 2009 Preliminary Pretrial	
23	Conference Scheduling Order (the "Order").	
24	The Court's Order specifies that a Joint Final Pretrial Conference Statement and In Limina	
25	Motions are due on January 22, 2010. The Court's Order further requires that the parties meet	
26	and confer regarding this Joint Final Pretrial Conference Statement. In an effort to meet and	
27	confer, defendants' counsel sent the correspondence attached hereto as Exhibit "A" to plaintiff's	
28		

Case5:08-cv-03608-JW Document53 Filed01/22/10 Page2 of 4 counsel on Tuesday, January 19, 2010. Plaintiff's counsel has failed to respond. [Accompanying declaration of Kristen E. Drake.] Dated: January 22, 2010 CRAIGIE, McCARTHY & CLOW /s/ James M. Hanavan By: James M. Hanavan Attorneys for Defendants SAFER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., CERMA TECHNOLOGY, INC., GEORGE ACKERSON, MARY STRANAHAN, NICHOLAS STREIT and **EDWARD HALBACH**

Exhibit 66A99

rageruri

Case5:08-cv-03608-JW Document53 Filed01/22/10 Page4 of 4

From: craigielaw@aol.com

To: rcweems@weemslawoffices.com

Cc: JimHanavan@aol.com

Subject: Joint Pretrial Conference Statement

Date: Tue, Jan 19, 2010 1:54 pm

Dear Mr. Weems.

Pursuant to the Court's July 8, 2009 Preliminary Pretrial Conference Scheduling Order, the Joint Final Pretrial Conference Statement and *In Limine* Motions are due this Friday. Please forward to us a proposed Joint Pretrial Conference Statement which, pursuant to the court's order, contains the following:

- 1. A brief description of the substance of claims and defenses which remain to be decided
- 2. A detailed statement of all the relief claimed, particularly itemizing all elements of damages claimed as well as witnesses, documents or other evidentiary material to be presented concerning the amount of those damages
- 3. A plain and concise statement of all relevant facts not reasonably disputable, as well as which facts the parties will stipulate for incorporation into the trial record without the necessity of supporting testimony or exhibits
- 4. A plain and concise statement of all disputed factual issues which remain to be decided
- 5. A statement assessing whether all or part of the action may be presented upon an agreed statement of facts
- 6. A statement of stipulations requested or proposed for pretrial or trial purposes
- 7. A concise statement of each disputed point of law concerning liability or relief
- 8. A list of all witnesses likely to be called at trial
- 9. A list of evidence the parties intend to present at trial through use of excerpts from depositions, from interrogatory answers, or from responses to requests for admissions
- 10. Any other subjects relevant to the trial of the action, or material to its just, speedy and inexpensive determination

Sincerely, Kristen Drake